| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCL | OSURE COMMISSION | |
| 5 | OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 6 | In the Matter of Enforcement Action Against: | PDC CASE NO. 06-279 | |
| 7 | Patricia Davis, | FINAL ORDER | |
| 8 | Respondent | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | This matter came for hearing before the | ne Washington State Public Disclosure | |
| 11 | Commission on November 30, 2005 at the PDC | offices in the Evergreen Plaza Building, | |
| 12 | Room 206, 711 Capitol Way South, Olympia | , Washington. Those present included | |
| 13 | Commission members Earl Tilly, Chair; Jane | Noland, Vice Chair; Bill Brumsickle, | |
| 14 | Secretary; and, Ken Schellberg. Also present wer | re PDC Executive Director Vicki Rippie; | |
| | | | |

The proceedings were open to the public and were recorded.

This matter involved a citizen action letter (45-day letter) dated October 12, 2005 from Richard L. Pope, Jr. to the Attorney General's Office under RCW 42.17.400(4). The letter was sent to the Commission by the AGO for investigation. Copies of the letter had also been provided by Mr. Pope to the PDC and local government attorneys. The letter was received by the PDC on October 12, 2005. The letter alleged that the Respondent (1) violated RCW 42.17.080 and .090 by failing to timely file reports of contributions and expenditures during the 2001 election cycle, (2) violated RCW 42.17.125 by repaying candidate loans in excess of the allowable limit during the 2001 election cycle; and, (3)

Senior Assistant Attorney General Linda Dalton for PDC Staff; Senior Counsel Nancy

Krier for the Commission; and, Suzanne Thomas, attorney for Respondent Patricia Davis.

- violated RCW 42.17.105(8) by making a loan in excess of the allowable amounts during the
- 2 21 days before the 2001 general election.
- PDC Staff submitted to the Commission the Notice of Administrative Charges dated
- 4 November 22, 2005 and the Report of Investigation dated November 22, 2005 (with
- 5 exhibits). The Respondent submitted a letter to the Commission dated November 21, 2005.
- 6 The parties submitted to the Commission a Stipulation as to Facts, Violations and Penalty
- 7 (Stipulation) dated November 30, 2005. The parties made oral presentations to the
- 8 Commission. PDC Staff orally requested that one allegation against the Respondent be
- 9 dismissed.

14

- Following consideration of the written and oral submissions, the Commission voted
- 4-0 to dismiss the allegation concerning the alleged violation of RCW 42.17.105(8) and to
- accept the the Stipulation concerning the violations of RCW 42.17.080, .090. and .125. The
- 13 Stipulation is incorporated by reference.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 15 1. The \$8,000 loan alleged to have been made as a contribution by the
- Respondent to the Respondent's campaign on October 17, 2001 was received by the
- campaign on October 9, 2001 and was first reported on a C-3 filed October 11, 2001, five
- days before the beginning of the restricted period under RCW 42.17.105(8).
- 19 2. 22. The facts as stipulated in items 2 22 in the Stipulation are designated
- Findings of Fact 2. 22.

21 II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 22 1. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter as cited in Stipulation
- 23 (Jurisdiction Section).
- 24 2. The Respondent did not commit a violation of RCW 42.17.105(8).
- The Respondent did commit multiple violations of RCW 42.17.080, .090
- and .125 as stated in the Stipulation.

| 1 | 111. | ORDER |
|---|------|-------|
| | | |

- Based upon the findings and conclusions, the Commission orders that:
- The allegation concerning the Respondent's violation of RCW 42.17.105(8) is dismissed;
 - 2. The Stipulation is accepted;
- The Respondent is assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$7,500 due and payable within 120 days of the entry of this Final Order; and,
 - 4. The Respondent will repay her 2001 campaign the amount of \$6,054 payable at the rate of \$500 per month for 12 months commencing January 1, 2006 until paid in full.

IV. APPEALS

RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION

Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must place their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reasons for the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within **TWENTY-ONE (21) BUSINESS DAYS** of the date that the Commission serves this order upon the party. WAC 390-37-150. Service by the Commission on a party is accomplished on the date of mailing by U.S. mail if the order is mailed, or the date of personal service if personal service is made. RCW 34.05.010(19). The Commission orders are generally mailed via U.S. mail.

Pursuant to WAC 390-37-150, the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the petition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) business days from the date the petition is filed, the Commission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to

RCW 34.05.470(5), the Respondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final order before seeking judicial review by a superior court.

FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS – SUPERIOR COURT

Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a **final order** issued by the Public Disclosure Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within **30 days** of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final order on the parties. RCW 34.05.542 (4) provides: "Service of the petition on the agency shall be by delivery of a copy of the petition to the office of the director, or other chief administrative officer or chairperson of the agency, at the principal office of the agency. Service of a copy by mail upon the other parties of record and the office of the attorney general shall be deemed complete upon deposit in the United States mail, as evidenced by the postmark."

If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration.

ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS

The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW 42.17.395-.397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid

1 and no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action 2 will be taken without further order by the Commission. 3 4 The Executive Director is authorized to enter this order on behalf of the Commission. 5 DATED THIS 2 day of December 2005. 6 7 FOR THE COMMISSION: 8 9 10 VICKI RIPPIE, Executive Director 11 12 Attachment: Stipulation as to Facts and Violations dated November 30, 2005 13 14 Date of mailing: December 2, 2005 15 16 17 18 Copies mailed to: 19 Patricia Davis, Respondent 20 Suzanne Thomas, for Respondent 21 Linda Dalton, Senior Assistant Attorney General, for PDC Staff 22 Nancy Krier, Senior Counsel, for the Commission 23 Honorable Robert M. McKenna, Attorney General 24 Honorable Norman K. Maleng, King County Prosecuting Attorney 25 Honorable Edward G. Holm, Thurston County Prosecuting Attorney 26 Richard L. Pope, Jr.